IDPL expenses

3401. SHRI RAFIQUE ALAM: Will the Ministtr *ot* INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) what are the names of IDPL employees working in his Ministry and since when they have been in the employ of the IDPL;

(b) what are the assigments given to such employees in the Ministry and whether these assignments are of a sensitive nature;

(c) what are the reasons that the IDPL is frittering away its resources and had over employed persons in spite of its incurring losses; and

(d) what are the expenses on the Head Office ana what is the maximum permissible expenditure?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF CHEMICALS AND PETRO-CHEMICALS IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SHRI R. K. JAICHANDRA SINGH): (a) and (b) The services of IDPL employees are utilised in the Ministry only as and when considered assen-tial.

(c) The strength of staff is reviewed from time to time with regard to the activities of the company.

(d) Net expenses of Corporate Office for the past three years are as follows:—

	(Rs. in lakhs)
1984-85.	103-96
1985-86.	112 50
1986-87.	112-46

The above expenses are mainly on account of employees remuneration and other administrative expenses for which no limit are prescribed.

Production of Bulk Drugs by Cadila Group of Companies

-3402. SHRI RAFIQUE ALAM: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) what are the details of actual production of each bulk drug by Cadila group of companies during the last three years, yearwise;

(b) what are the details of the quantity of Ampicillin Anhydrous purchased by Cadila group of companies from March, 1979 onwards, quantity purchased from each source and the price actually paid;

(c) what steps have been taken to verify such purchasts;

(d) whether it is a fact that a large sum of money has changed hands in such purchases; and

(e) if so, what steps have been taken to curb such activities?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF CHEMICALS AND PETRO-CHEMICALS IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SHRI R K. JAICHANDRA SINGH), (a) De tails of production of bulk drugs by M/s. Cadila Labs, to the extent monitored by this Ministry are given in the encosed Statement. (See below)

(b) to (e) Details have been called for from the Company and the same are still awaited

Statement

Name of the drug	Accounting Writ	Production		
		1984-85	1985-86	1986-87
Ethambutol	T	22-93	30.41	19.59
Mebendazole	T	3-39	428	17-82
Tinidazole	T	5-82	10 13	16 18
Ampicillin	T	13-61	11-73	15-70
Dexamethasone	Kg.	53 31	51 89	84-86
Sulphamethaxazole	T	6-31	1600	9-40
Trimethoprim	T		2-78	3-87

Reasons! for changing DPCO

3403. SHRI M. KADHARSHA: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) what are the reasons for chan ging the Drug Prices Control Order; 1979;

(b) whether it is a fact that the main provisions relating to price fixa tion and Drug Prices Equalisation Accounts were challenged in various courts;

(c) if so, whether it is also a fact that all the provisions of 1979 order have been upheld by the courts-, and

(d) if so why retrograde measures have been announced at this stage to sacrifice the interests of the consumers?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OP CHEMI CALS AND PETRO-CHEMICALS IN THE MINISTRY *OF* INDUSTRY (SHRI R. K. JAICHANDRA SINGH): (a) and (d) The details are contained in the book let "Measures for Rationalisation, Quality Control and Growth of Drugs & Pharmaceutical Industry in India" copies of which are available in the Parliament Library. The measures amply safeguard the interests of the consumers.

(b) Yes, Sir.

(c) The Supreme Court in their recent judgment upheld the prices Axed by the Government under the provisions of DPCO, 1979 in the case of M/s. Cyanamid (I) Ltd. and several other companies.

Difference between bulk drug prices and formulation prices

3404. SHRI M. KADHARSHA: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether it. is a fact that in the past the formulators made huge un intended benefit due to reduction in bulk drug prices and enjoyed the diffe rence between the purchase price of bulk drugs and price on which formu lation price was fixed

(b) if so, how many such cases came to the notice of the Government;

(c) in how many cases the prices were reduced or difference recovered along with the name of the company, earlier price, revised price and money recovered; and

(d) what measures have been taken or are proposed to be taken to pass on the difference to the consumer in the absence of DPEA?